

Appendix 1a: Panel's Assessment and Scoring Sheet Template (not Legacies)

Proposer	Panel's Assessment, Score and Recommendations for Mitigating Action
Interested party:	Nestle Proposal considered: Financial contribution from Nestle to the Combs Moss Restoration Project.
Assessment of proposal against 1-6 in process criteria	
1. Contribution to achieving corporate strategy section	No issues. Score 10
2. Fit with PDNPA brand values section	Some issues – concern regarding perceived reputational risks regarding the Company's historical issues and the continued use of plastic in their products. Score 5
3. Motive of interested party section	No issues. Score 10
4. Identity and financial check section	No issues Score 10
5. Environmental credentials section	Some minor concern but accept that the Company is actively looking at altering their environmental credentials. Score 7
6. Conflict of interest/impact on Authority's reputation section	Some concern that there could be an impact on the Authority's reputation, so need to ensure good communications are in place. Score 7
Conclusions on whether appropriate to develop a relationship with interested party	Panel agreed to support acceptance of the financial contribution by Nestle, as it is a beneficial project with other Partners involved, subject to completion of a Memorandum of Understanding and the Authority's Communications Team being made aware of the perceived reputational risks and have plans in place to mitigate this.

Scoring: Score out of 10

10 = no issues

1= prohibitive issues meaning cannot proceed whatever the value of other scores

5= satisfactory – some issues but not insurmountable

0= difficult to make judgement from information provided- more information needed